ATTORNEY GRIEVANCE COMMISSION OF MARYLAND Annapolis, Maryland

AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS June 30, 2017 and 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS	Page(s)
Independent Auditors' Report	3-4
Balance Sheets	5
Statements of Budget, Receipts, Expenditures, and Fund Balance	6
Statements of Cash Flows	7
Notes to Financial Statements	8-13
Supplementary Schedules	14-15



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Commissioners Attorney Grievance Commission of Maryland

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Attorney Grievance Commission of Maryland, which comprise the balance sheets as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related statements of budget, receipts, expenditures, and fund balance, and cash flows for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

HeimLantz.com

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material aspects, the financial position of the Attorney Grievance Commission of Maryland as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in its net assets and its cash flows for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Report on Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The supplemental information is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Heimhein

Annapolis, Maryland October 30, 2017

ATTORNEY GRIEVANCE COMMISSION OF MARYLAND BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30, 2017 and 2016 2017

2016

Δ	SSE	тs
	DOL:	IN

\$	875,626	\$	113,880
	2,746,042		3,849,238
	2,865		2,880
	33,385		117,340
	-		10,421
	52,596		23,454
	3,710,514		4,117,213
	1,000,436		-
	45,364		92,740
	20,020		20,020
	1,065,820		112,760
\$	4,776,334	\$	4,229,973
NCE			
\$	80,834	\$	174,881
	152,407		-
	208,203		272,369
	5,859		5,859
	447,303		453,109
	41,016		46,875
	370,029		267,470
	858,348		767,454
	734,758		192,898
	3,183,228		3,269,621
	3,917,986		3,462,519
\$	4,776,334	\$	4,229,973
	<u>\$</u> NCE \$	2,746,042 2,865 33,385 - 52,596 3,710,514 1,000,436 45,364 20,020 1,065,820 \$ 4,776,334 NCE \$ 80,834 152,407 208,203 5,859 447,303 41,016 370,029 858,348 734,758 3,183,228 3,917,986	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

ATTORNEY GRIEVANCE COMMISSION OF MARYLAND STATEMENTS OF BUDGET, RECEIPTS, EXPENDITURES, AND FUND BALANCE FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 and 2016

		2017		2016
	Actual	Budget	Variance Positive (Negative)	Actual
COMMISSION RECEIPTS	* • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • •	• • • • • • - • -
Attorney Assessments	\$ 4,428,111	\$ 4,370,960	\$ 57,151	\$ 4,044,737
Investment Income	20,422	30,000	(9,578)	27,788
Court Recovered Costs	53,151	32,000	21,151	54,976
Other Income CPF Reimbursements	22,310	-	22,310	380,807
TOTAL RECEIPTS	368,675 4,892,668	378,435 4,811,395	<u>(9,760)</u> 81,273	4,508,308
	4,892,008	4,011,595	01,275	4,508,508
COMMISSION EXPENSES				
Personnel Costs	2,786,056	3,242,804	(456,748)	2,970,077
Case Management Costs	258,664	313,816	(55,152)	241,859
Staff Support	101,724	122,000	(20,276)	89,193
Outside Services	108,158	63,000	45,158	55,886
Information Technology Support	226,095	229,000	(2,905)	201,226
Office Expense Court Mandated Costs	381,525	390,767	(9,242)	417,123
	145,516	166,500	(20,984)	153,644
Client Protection Fund - Payroll TOTAL EXPENDITURES	236,564 4,244,303	236,564 4,764,451	(520,148)	230,485 4,359,493
IOTAL EAFENDITURES	4,244,303	4,704,431	(320,148)	4,559,495
INCREASE (DECREASE) IN UNRESTRICTED FUND BALANCE	\$ 648,365	\$ 46,944	\$ 601,421	\$ 148,815
FUND BALANCE, BEGINNING OF YEAR	3,462,519			3,722,483
RESTRICTED FUND BALANCE, PRIOR YEAR	(192,898)			(408,778)
RESTRICTED FUND BALANCE, CURRENT YEAR	734,758			192,898
UNRESTRICTED FUND BALANCE	3,183,228			3,269,621
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	\$ 3,917,986			\$ 3,462,519

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

THE ATTORNEY GRIEVANCE COMMISSION OF MARYLAND STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2017 and 2016

CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES	 2017	 2016
Increase in unrestricted fund balance:	\$ 648,365	\$ 148,814
Adjustments to reconcile increase in unrestricted fund	-	-
balance to cash provided (used) by operating activities		
Depreciation	51,519	68,053
(Increase) decrease in:		
Attorney assessments receivable	16	7,590
Due from Client Protection Fund	83,955	3,828
Other receivables	-	5,641
Prepaid expenses	(29,142)	11,600
Pension receivable	10,421	(10,421)
Increase (decrease) in:	-	
Accounts payable	(94,047)	97,102
Pension payable	152,407	(19,222)
Accrued compensated absences	(64,166)	(38,046)
Retiree health insurance credit plan	102,559	111,177
Deferred lease expense	(5,859)	(5,860)
Excess fund balance	 (192,898)	 (408,778)
NET CASH PROVIDED (USED) BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	663,130	(28,522)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Sale (purchase) of investments - net	102,759	(199,414)
Purchase of property & equipment	 (4,143)	 (10,919)
NET CASH PROVIDED (USED) BY INVESTING ACTIVITIES	98,616	(210,333)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH	 761,746	 (238,855)
CASH AT BEGINNING OF YEAR	 113,880	 352,735
CASH AT END OF YEAR	\$ 875,626	\$ 113,880

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

Nature of the Commission

The Attorney Grievance Commission of Maryland, (the Commission) was authorized and created by the Court of Appeals of Maryland on February 10, 1975 to supervise and administer the discipline and inactive status of attorneys under Maryland rules. The Commission oversees the conduct of both Maryland lawyers and nonmembers of the Maryland Bar who engage in the practice of law in the State. The Commission investigates and, where indicated, prosecutes attorneys whose conduct violates the Maryland Lawyers Rules of Professional Conduct as well as those engaged in the unauthorized practice of law.

Basis of Accounting

As an instrumentality of the Maryland Court of Appeals, the Commission maintains its accounting records on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles. The Commission's funds are used to account for the proceeds of revenue sources that are restricted to expenditures for specific purposes. These financial statements reflect only the activity of an unrestricted fund.

Revenue and Revenue Recognition

Attorney assessments are the Commission's primary source of revenue. Assessments are received through payments made by individual attorneys to the Client Protection Fund of the Bar of Maryland (the Fund) on a billing which includes assessments for the Fund and the Commission. These annual assessments are required by the Maryland court system for any individual admitted to practice before the Court of Appeals or issued a certificate of special authorization under Rule 15 of the Rules Governing Admission to the Bar of Maryland, or any individual who holds himself or herself out as being admitted to practice in Maryland by any means.

Since there is no requirement that an individual remain admitted to practice law in the State of Maryland, assessments are deemed to be revenue only when collected, the only receivable on these financial statements are assessments collected by the Client Protection Fund but not yet remitted to the Commission. Based on prior experience, management feels that all amounts will be collected; therefore, there is no allowance for doubtful accounts included in these financial statements. The assessment collected by the Commission for each attorney in practice was \$110 for the year ended June 30, 2017 and \$105 for the year ended June 30, 2016. The number of practicing attorneys assessed during the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 was 39,890 and 40,707, respectively.

Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

Income Tax Status

The Commission is an instrumentality of the Maryland Court of Appeals and as such is not subject to income taxes. Accordingly, no provision has been made. The Commission believes that it has appropriate support for any tax positions taken, and as such, does not have any uncertain tax positions that are material to the financial statements.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents represent cash held in checking, savings and money market accounts with original maturities of less than ninety days.

Investments

The Commission invests in U.S. Government securities, including Treasury Bills and Treasury notes, and certificates of deposit. Investments are recorded at market value as of the balance sheet date.

Property and Equipment

Acquisitions of equipment and furniture and all expenditures for repairs, maintenance, and betterments costing \$1,000 or greater that materially prolong the useful lives of assets are capitalized. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. Equipment and furniture are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation and amortization are computed using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives of three to thirty-nine years. Leasehold improvements are amortized on the straight-line method over the shorter of the lease term or estimated useful life of the asset.

Compensated Absences

The entity accrues a liability for certain sick leave, and all annual leave which has been earned but not taken by the employees. Employees can earn a maximum of 25 days for annual leave a year. Annual leave can be accumulated up to 35 days. There is no requirement that annual leave be taken in the year earned. Upon termination, employees are paid for any accumulated annual leave. Employees hired prior to January 1, 1989 are reimbursed one third of accumulated sick leave, up to sixty days upon termination. Employees hired after 1988 are not reimbursed for accumulated sick leave.

NOTE 2 – INVESTMENTS

Investments are stated at their readily determinable fair value at June 30, 2017 and June 30, 2016 and are comprised of brokerage certificates of deposit, which are classified as held to maturity securities as follows:

June	30,	2017	

Certificates of Deposit Total	Amortized cost \$ 3,754,000 \$ 3,754,000	Gains in accumulated comprehensive income \$ -0- \$ -0- June 30, 2016	Losses in accumulated comprehensive income \$ 7,522 \$ 7,522	Estimated fair value 3,746,478 3,746,478
Certificates of Deposit Total	Amortized cost \$ 3,845,000 \$ 3,845,000	Gains in accumulated comprehensive income \$ 4,238 \$ 4,238	Losses in accumulated comprehensive income \$ -0- \$ -0-	Estimated fair value 3,849,238 3,849,238

The maturity dates of the certificates of deposit held at June 30, 2017 ranged from September 6, 2017 to March 28, 2019. The maturity dates of the certificates of deposit held at June 30, 2016 ranged from September 6, 2016 to May 12, 2017.

For the years ending June 30, 2017 and 2016, investment income consisted of the following:

Year ended	Jun	e 30, 2017	Jun	e 30, 2016
Interest Income	\$	29,036	\$	27,258
Unrealized Gain/(Loss)		(8,615)		530
Total Investment Income	<u>\$</u>	20,422	\$	27,788

For purposes of determining gross realized gain/loss, securities sold are based on specific identification.

NOTE 3 – FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

In accordance with FASB ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, the Association has categorized its financial instruments, based on the priority of the inputs to the valuation technique, into a three-level fair value hierarchy. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). If the inputs used to measure the financial instruments fall within different levels of hierarchy, the categorization is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement of the instrument.

Financial instruments recorded in the Statement of Financial Position are categorized based on the inputs to valuation techniques as follows:

Level 1. These are financial instruments where values are based on unadjusted quoted prices for an identical asset in an active market the Commission has the ability to access.

Level 2. These are financial instruments where values are based on quoted prices in markets that are not active or model inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly for substantially the full-term of the financial instruments.

Level 3. These are financial instruments where values are based on prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. These inputs reflect assumptions of management about assumptions market participants would use in pricing the financial instruments. These financial instruments include non-readily marketable securities that do not have an active market.

All of the Commissions' investments are stated at fair value on a recurring basis, using level 1 inputs. Unrealized gains and losses are included as a component of investment income.

Year ending	June 30 2017,	June 30, 2016
Certificates of Deposit (Investments – short term)	\$ 2,746,042	\$ 3,849,238
Certificates of Deposit (Investments – long term)	1,000,436	
Total Investments	<u>\$ 3,746,478</u>	<u>\$ 3,849,238</u>

NOTE 4 – PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment consisted of the following as of June 30:

	2017	2016
Computer equipment	\$ 70,831	\$ 70,831
Furniture and fixtures	69,277	65,133

Leasehold improvements	17,390	17,390
Software	118,796	118,796
Total property and equipment	276,293	272,150
Less accumulated depreciation	230,929	179,410
Property and equipment, net	\$ 45,364	\$ 92,740

Depreciation expense for the periods ending June 30, 2017 and 2016 was \$51,519 and \$68,053, respectively.

NOTE 5 - PENSION PLAN

The Commission sponsors a trustee defined contribution pension plan covering substantially all employees meeting minimum age and service requirements. Contributions to the plan during the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 were \$237,064 and \$286,734, respectively. This amount is equal to 15% of the participant's compensation. As of June 30, 2017 \$152,407 was owed by the Commission to the plan, and the Commission had an overpayment of \$10,421 as of June 30, 2016.

NOTE 6 – OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

On September 1, 2012 the Commission adopted an Other Postemployment Benefit Plan (OPEB) in order to provide health insurance expense reimbursement benefits to eligible retirees and their surviving spouses. The official name of the plan is "The Attorney Grievance Commission of Maryland Retiree Health Insurance Credit Plan." The Eligible retirees will include employees with at least ten years of service and have attained age fifty-five, or persons who have become disabled and are receiving benefits under the terms of the Social Security Act. Surviving spouses must have been covered under this plan at the time of the retiree's death and enroll in the Plan on the first day of the month following the death of the covered retiree. Plan benefits will be paid directly by the Commission to the retiree at a rate of the lesser of \$4,200 annually or their actual health insurance premiums. The total contribution expense charged as an expenditure in the current year was \$127,000. The actual and estimated schedules of employer contributions and funding progress are as follows through June 30, 2016, assuming a discount rate of 3.5%:

Schedule of Employer Contributions

Year Ended June 30, 2014 2015 2016 2017	Annual OPEB Cost \$57,000 \$59,000 \$123,000 \$127,000	Actual Contribution \$6,996 \$5,886 \$11,823 \$24,441	Percentage Contributed 12.3% 9.98% 9.61% 19.24%	Net OPEB Obligation \$103,179 \$156,293 \$267,470 \$370,029
	Sche	dule of Funding Prog	ress	
Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)	Unfunded AAL (UAAL)	Funded Ratio
7/1/2014 7/1/2015	\$0 \$0	\$418,000 \$848,000	\$418,000 \$848,000	0.0% 0.0%
7/1/2016	\$0	\$903,000	\$903,000	0.0%

NOTE 7 – LEASE COMMITMENT

The Commission leases office space in Annapolis, MD. The lease calls for monthly rental payments beginning on July 1, 2016. The lease is an operating lease and the agreement expires in 2025, with an option to renew for up to five years. In the normal course of business, it is expected that available options to renew will be exercised.

In addition, part of the new lease included a lease incentive of deferred lease expense for the first three months of the agreement. The total remaining amount of deferred lease expense provided by the lessor was \$41,016. This amount is reported on the Balance Sheet as deferred lease expense and is amortized over the life of the lease. The following is a schedule by year of future minimum rental payments required under the operating lease agreements:

June 30, 2018	240,236
June 30, 2019	240,236
June 30, 2020	240,236
June 30, 2021	240,236
June 30, 2022	240,236
Total	<u>\$ 1,201,180</u>

NOTE 8 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Commission has significant transactions with the Client Protection Fund of the Bar of Maryland, an instrumentality of the State of Maryland. All assessments of the Client Protection Fund of the Bar of Maryland and the Attorney Grievance Commission of Maryland are billed and collected by the Client Protection Fund of the Bar of Maryland and the Commission's portion is transferred monthly by check.

During the years ending June 30, 2017 and 2016 the Client Protection Fund of the Bar of Maryland was billed \$369,773 and \$382,187, respectively by the Commission for fees incurred for salaries, benefits and lease expenses At June 30, 2017 and 2016, the Client Protection Fund of the Bar of Maryland owed these fees to the Commission in the amount of \$2,865 and \$117,340, respectively. In addition, the Client Protection Fund of the Bar of Maryland owed to the Commission attorney assessments in the amount of \$0 and \$2,880 at June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively.

NOTE 9 – BONDS

The Commission has a \$6,000,000 blanket crime protection insurance policy in effect for employee dishonesty.

NOTE 10 – CONTINGENCIES

Prior to the 2014 fiscal year, the Maryland Court of Appeals, at its discretion, was permitted to order a transfer of funds from the Commission to court related agencies. On March 13, 2014 an Administrative Order was issued by the Maryland Court of Appeals, requiring the Commission to maintain a Fund Balance of 75% of the prior year's fiscal expenditures. Any excess Fund Balance amount would be due to the Client Protection Fund, as of 30 days following the issuance of annual audited financial statements. As this amount cannot be determined by the Commission as of the fiscal year end, and it has not been declared or approved by the Courts, it is not a current liability of the Commission, but rather a restricted portion of the fund balance. Per this Order, at June 30, 2017 and 2016, the Commission owed \$734,758 and \$192,898 to the Client Protection Fund, respectively. This amount is set aside as "Restricted Fund Balance" on the June 30, 2017 and 2016 balance sheets. The \$192,898 owed as of June 30, 2016 was paid to the Client Protection Fund by the Commission on November 8, 2016.

NOTE 11 – RECLASSIFICATIONS

Certain amounts in the prior periods presented have been reclassified to conform to the current period financial statement presentation. These reclassifications have no effect on previously reported net income.

NOTE 12 – MANAGEMENT'S SUBSEQUENT REVIEW

The Commission has evaluated subsequent events through October 30, 2017, the date which the financial statements were available to be issued, and no events were noted that would materially impact the financial statements.